

Annual Environmental Report

2018



Roosky

D0408-01

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2018 AER

- 1.1 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING INCLUDED IN AER
- 1.2 TREATMENT TYPE
 - 1.2.1 ROOSKY WWTP
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
 - 1.3.1 ROOSKY WWTP
- 1.4 SLUDGE REMOVAL

2 MONITORING REPORTS SUMMARY

- 2.1 SUMMARY REPORT ON MONTHLY INFLUENT MONITORING
 - 2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - ROOSKY WWTP
- 2.2 DISCHARGES FROM THE AGGLOMERATION
 - 2.2.1 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - ROOSKY WWTP
- 2.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY
 - 2.3.1 AMBIENT MONITORING REPORT SUMMARY - ROOSKY WWTP
 - 2.3.2 AMBIENT MONITORING PARAMETER MEAN (MG/L) - ROOSKY WWTP

3 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY

- 3.1 TREATMENT EFFICIENCY REPORT
 - 3.1.1 TREATMENT EFFICIENCY REPORT SUMMARY - ROOSKY WWTP
- 3.2 TREATMENT CAPACITY REPORT SUMMARY
- 3.3 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.4 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
 - 3.4.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
 - 3.4.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS
- 3.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS TO THE WWTP

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
 - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION
 - 4.1.2 INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS

4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

5.1 PRIORITY SUBSTANCES ASSESSMENT

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

6.2 DECLARATION BY IRISH WATER

7 APPENDIX

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2018 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0408-01, Roosky, in Roscommon in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports are included as an appendix to the AER as follows:

1.1 Licence specific reporting included in AER

Assessment / Report	Included in AER
---------------------	-----------------

1.2 Treatment Type

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant Roosky WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 2600. The treatment process includes the following:

1.2.1 Roosky WWTP

Treatment type	Yes / No	Details
Preliminary Treatment	Yes	Screening, Grit removal & Storm Water Tank
Primary Treatment	No	
Secondary Treatment	Yes	Aeration tank with a stahermatic wheel & attached growth process
Nutrient Removal	Yes	Chemical dosing for phosphorous removal
Tertiary Treatment	No	

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration.

1.3 ELV Overview

1.3.1 Roosky WWTP

Compliance Status	
Were all parameters compliant for Roosky WWTP treatment plant	Yes
Where noncompliant see table 2.2.1 for details of parameters	

1.4 Sludge Removal

The amount of sludge removed from the wastewater treatment plant is shown below along with the transported destination of the sludge from the treatment plant.

Treatment Plant	Sludge type	Quantity	Unit	% Dry Solids	Destination
Roosky WWTP	Liquid Sludge	552	Volume (m3)	1	Carrick on Shannon WWTP

2 MONITORING REPORTS SUMMARY

2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented in below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

2.1.1 Influent Monitoring Summary - Roosky WWTP

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD)	7	102	50.41
COD-Cr	7	319	136.12
Hydraulic Capacity	0	1085	349

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 3.5 if applicable

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity as detailed further in Section 3.2. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity as detailed further in Section 3.2. The design of the wastewater treatment plant allows for peak values and therefore the peak loads have not impacted on compliance with Emission Limit Values.

2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration

2.2.1 Effluent Monitoring Summary - Roosky WWTP

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedences	Number of with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
Ammonia-Total (as N)	5	6	0	7	0	0	0.91	Pass
Suspended Solids	35	87.5	0	7	0	0	6.16	Pass
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified	3	3.6	0	7	0	0	0.19	Pass
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous BOD)	25	50	0	7	0	0	2.75	Pass
COD-Cr	125	250	0	7	0	0	16.2	Pass
pH	0	0	0	7	0	0	7.03	Pass

Notes:

1- This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

2 - For parameters where a mean ELV applies

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Not Applicable

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence.

2.3 Ambient monitoring summary

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

2.3.1 Ambient Monitoring Report Summary - Roosky WWTP

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Status
Upstream	205397, 287027	TPEFF2600D0408SW001	No	No	No	No	Moderate
Downstream	205267, 286124	TPEFF2600D0408SW001	No	No	No	No	Moderate

2.3.2 Ambient Monitoring Parameter Summary - Roosky WWTP

The table below provides a summary of monitoring results for designated ambient monitoring points. The upstream and downstream annual mean values are shown (mg/l), and the difference between both monitoring stations is given as a percentage of the Environmental Quality Standard (EQS) where relevant.

Parameter Name	Upstream Monitoring Point Location	Upstream Monitoring Point Annual Mean	Downstream Monitoring Point Location	Downstream Monitoring Point Annual Mean	EQS	% of EQS
Temperature	RS26S021200	9.95	RS26S021400	9.98		
Dissolved Oxygen	RS26S021200	47.8	RS26S021400	48.5		
BOD - 5 days (Total)	RS26S021200	2.2	RS26S021400	1.95	2.6	0.1
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified	RS26S021200	0.01	RS26S021400	0.01	0.075	0

Parameter Name	Upstream Monitoring Point Location	Upstream Monitoring Point Annual Mean	Downstream Monitoring Point Location	Downstream Monitoring Point Annual Mean	EQS	% of EQS
Ammonia-Total (as N)	RS26S021200	0.03	RS26S021400	0.03	0.15	0
pH	RS26S021200	7.51	RS26S021400	7.51		

Significance of Results:

The WWTP discharge was compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The ambient monitoring results meet the required EQS.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the water quality.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

3 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY

3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

3.1.1 Treatment Efficiency Report Summary - Roosky WWTP

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)	Comment
TN				
cBOD	6542.99	356.59	94.55	
COD	17666.31	2101.93	88.1	
TP				
SS		800.1		

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

3.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

Roosky WWTP	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m3/day) - As Constructed	1950

Roosky WWTP	
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m3/day)	650
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m3/day)	1085
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m3/day)	349
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	2600
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week)	683
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	1917
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No

3.3 Complaints Summary

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Number of Complaints	Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints
There is no Complaint data included in the AER.			

3.4 Reported Incidents Summary

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Irish Water but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

3.4.1 Summary of Incidents

Incident Type	Cause	No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
There is no Incident data included in the AER.				

3.4.2 Summary of Overall Incidents

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2018	0
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2018	0
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	

3.5 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP?(Y/N)
There is no Sludge and Other Input data for the Treatment Plant included in the AER.							

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 Storm Water Overflow Identification and Inspection Report

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

No Appendix Included

4.1.1 SWO Identification

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow	Irish Grid Ref.	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2018 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2018 (m3)	Monitoring Status
There are no Storm Water Overflows in this Agglomeration.							

4.1.2 Inspection Summary Report

SWO Summary	
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m3)?	0.00
Is each SWO identified as non meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	Yes
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	No
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / charges to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	No

4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improvement programme requirements.

4.2.1 Specified Improvement Programme Summary

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
There are no Specified Improvement Programmes for this Agglomeration.						

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

4.2.2 Improvement Programme Summary

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description	Improvement Source	Expected Completion Date	Comments
There are no Improvements Programme for this Agglomeration.				

4.2.3 Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Table".

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

5.a Licence Specific Reports Summary Table

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Year included in AER	Included in this AER	Reference to relevant section of AER (e.g. Appendix X).
Priority Substances Assessment	Yes	2015	No	

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 Summary of AER Contents

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for consideration of a Technical Amendment / Review of the licence?	No
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modifications to the existing WWDL?	No
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	
Have these processes commenced?	No
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	N/A

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Signed: Date: 04/03/2019

This AER has been produced by Irish Water's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of ,

Eleanor Roche

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

7 APPENDIX

There are no Appendices included