

Annual Environmental Report

2022



Tallow

D0273-01

CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2022 AER

- 1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES
- 1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY
- 1.3 ELV OVERVIEW
- 1.4 LICENSE SPECIFIC REPORT INCLUDED IN AER

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

- 2.1 TALLOW SEPTIC TANK - TREATED DISCHARGE
 - 2.1.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY - TALLOW SEPTIC TANK
 - 2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TALLOW SEPTIC TANK -
 - 2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE -
 - 2.1.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR TALLOW SEPTIC TANK
 - 2.1.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO TALLOW SEPTIC TANK
- 2.2 TALLOW WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE
 - 2.2.1 INFLUENT SUMMARY - TALLOW WWTP
 - 2.2.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TALLOW WWTP -
 - 2.2.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE -
 - 2.2.4 OPERATIONAL REPORTS SUMMARY FOR TALLOW WWTP
 - 2.2.5 SLUDGE/OTHER INPUTS TO TALLOW WWTP

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

- 3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY
- 3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY
 - 3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS
 - 3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

- 4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT
 - 4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION SUMMARY REPORT
- 4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS
 - 4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY
 - 4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

5.1 PRIORITY SUBSTANCES ASSESSMENT

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

7 APPENDIX

7.1 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND INTRODUCTION TO THE 2022 AER

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0273-01, Tallow, in Waterford in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified reports where relevant are included as an appendix to the AER.

1.1 ANNUAL STATEMENT OF MEASURES

A summary of any improvements undertaken is provided where applicable.

There was no major capital or operational changes undertaken

1.2 TREATMENT SUMMARY

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant(s)

- Tallow Septic Tank with a Plant Capacity PE of 0, the treatment type is 3P - Tertiary P removal .
- Tallow WWTP with a Plant Capacity PE of 2186, the treatment type is 3P - Tertiary P removal .

1.3 ELV OVERVIEW

The overall compliance of the final effluent with the Emission Limit Values (ELVs) is shown below. More detailed information on the below ELV's can be found in Section 2.

Discharge Point Reference	Treatment Plant	Discharge Type	Compliance Status	Parameters failing if relevant
TPEFF3100D0273SW002	Tallow Septic Tank	Treated	Non-Compliant	N/A

Discharge Point Reference	Treatment Plant	Discharge Type	Compliance Status	Parameters failing if relevant
TPEFF3100D0273SW001	Tallow WWTP	Treated	Compliant	N/A

1.4 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTING

Assessment / Report
There are no Licence Specific Reports included in this AER.

2 TREATMENT PLANT PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT SUMMARY

2.1 TALLOW SEPTIC TANK - TREATED DISCHARGE

2.1.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TALLOW SEPTIC TANK

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
There is no Influent data included in the AER.			

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is greater than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is greater than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'.

2.1.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF3100D0273SW002

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of exceedances with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
There is no Effluent data included in the AER.								

Notes:

1 – This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

2 – For pH the WWDA specifies a range of pH 6 - 9

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Not applicable

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence.

2.1.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF3100D0273SW002

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Ecological Status

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the **Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary**

Significance of Results:

The coastal/transitional ambient monitoring results do not meet the required EQS. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

The WWTP discharge was not compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence for the following: .

The ambient monitoring results do not meet the required EQS at the upstream and the downstream monitoring locations. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable impact on the water quality.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

2.1.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - TALLOW SEPTIC TANK

2.1.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - Tallow Septic Tank

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
There is no Treatment Efficiency data included in the AER.			

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

2.1.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - Tallow Septic Tank

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

Tallow Septic Tank	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m ³ /day) - As Constructed	0
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day)	0
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m ³ /day)	N/A
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day)	N/A
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	0

Tallow Septic Tank	
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week) ^{Note1}	N/A
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	N/A
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

2.1.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - TALLOW SEPTIC TANK

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
There is no Sludge and Other Input data for the Treatment Plant included in the AER.							

2.2 TALLOW WWTP - TREATED DISCHARGE

2.2.1 INFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TALLOW WWTP

A summary of influent monitoring for the treatment plant is presented below. This monitoring is primarily undertaken in order to determine the overall efficiency of the plant in removing pollutants from the raw wastewater.

Parameters	Number of Samples	Annual Max	Annual Mean
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	10	18	7.56
pH pH units	10	8.03	7.10
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	10	78	44
Suspended Solids mg/l	10	700	274
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	10	5.45	3.37
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceo mg/l	10	569	341
Total Nitrogen mg/l	10	99	62
COD-Cr mg/l	10	1130	718
Hydraulic Capacity	N/A	2592	425

If other inputs in the form of sludge / leachate are added to the WWTP then these are included in Section 2.1.5 if applicable.

Significance of Results:

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. The annual maximum hydraulic loading is greater than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity. Further details on the plant capacity and efficiency can be found under the sectional 'Operational Performance Summary'. The design of the wastewater treatment plant allows for peak values and therefore the peak loads have not impacted on compliance with Emission Limit Values.

2.2.2 EFFLUENT MONITORING SUMMARY - TPEFF3100D0273SW000

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of exceedances with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
COD-Cr mg/l	125	250	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	28	Pass
Suspended Solids mg/l	35	87.5	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	6.66	Pass
BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonaceous) mg/l	25	50	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	3.01	Pass
pH pH units	9	9	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	7.43	Pass
Ammonia-Total (as N) mg/l	5	6	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	0.352	Pass
ortho-Phosphate (as P) - unspecified mg/l	1	1.2	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	0.090	Pass
Total Nitrogen mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	3.75	
Total Oxidised Nitrogen (as N) mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	2.29	

Parameter	WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included Note 1	Interim % reduction from influent concentration	Number of sample results	Number of exceedances	Number of exceedances with Condition 2 Interpretation included	Annual Mean	Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)
Total Phosphorus (as P) mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	0.194	

Notes:

1 – This represents the Emission Limit Values after the Interpretation provided for under Condition 2 of the licence is applied

2 – For pH the WWDA specifies a range of pH 6 - 9

Cause of Exceedance(s):

Not applicable

Significance of Results:

The WWTP is compliant with the ELV's set in the Wastewater Discharge Licence.

2.2.3 AMBIENT MONITORING SUMMARY FOR THE TREATMENT PLANT DISCHARGE TPEFF3100D0273SW000

A summary of monitoring from ambient monitoring points associated with the wastewater discharge is provided in the sections below. For discharges to rivers upstream (U/S) and downstream (D/S) location data is provided. For other ambient points in lakes, coastal or transitional waters, monitoring data from the most appropriate monitoring station is selected.

The table below provides details of ambient monitoring locations and details of any designations as sensitive areas.

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	River Station Code	Bathing Water	Drinking Water	FWPM	Shellfish	WFD Ecological Status
Upstream	199887, 94325	RS18B050800	No	No	No	No	Good
Downstream	208024, 92283	RS18B051000	No	No	No	No	Moderate

The results for ambient results and / or additional monitoring data sets are included in the **Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary**

Significance of Results:

The coastal/transitional ambient monitoring results do not meet the required EQS. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

The WWTP discharge was compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence.

The ambient monitoring results do not meet the required EQS at the upstream and the downstream monitoring locations. The EQS relates to the Oxygenation and Nutrient Conditions set out in the Surface Water Regulations 2009.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable impact on the water quality.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

2.2.4 OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY - TALLOW WWTP

2.2.4.1 Treatment Efficiency Report - Tallow WWTP

Treatment efficiency is based on the removal of key pollutants from the influent wastewater by the treatment plant. In essence the calculation is based on the balance of load coming into the plant versus the load leaving the plant. The efficiency is presented as a percentage removal rate.

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
TN	6408	407	94

Parameter	Influent mass loading (kg/year)	Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)
COD	74631	3094	96
TP	785	21	97
cBOD	35476	327	99
SS	28513	724	97

Note: The above data is based on sample results for the number of dates reported

2.2.4.2 Treatment Capacity Report Summary - Tallow WWTP

Treatment capacity is an assessment of the hydraulic (flow) and organic (the amount of pollutants) load a treatment plant is designed to treat versus the current loading of that plant.

Tallow WWTP	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (m ³ /day) - As Constructed	1476
DWF to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day)	492
Current Hydraulic Loading - annual max (m ³ /day)	2592
Average Hydraulic loading to the Treatment Plant (m ³ /day)	425
Organic Capacity (PE) - As Constructed	2186
Organic Capacity (PE) - Collected Load (peak week) ^{Note1}	1935
Organic Capacity (PE) - Remaining	251
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes/No)	No

Nominal design capacities can be based on conservative design principles. In some cases assessment of existing plants has shown organic capacities significantly higher than the nominal design capacity. Accordingly plants that appear to be overloaded when comparing a collected peak load with the nominal design capacity can be fully compliant due to the safety factors in the original design.

2.2.5 SLUDGE / OTHER INPUTS - TALLOW WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in table below

Input type	Quantity	Unit	P.E.	% of load to WWTP	Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)?	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
There is no Sludge and Other Input data for the Treatment Plant included in the AER.							

3 COMPLAINTS AND INCIDENTS

3.1 COMPLAINTS SUMMARY

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature related to the discharge(s) to water from the WWTP and network is included below.

Number of Complaints	Nature of Complaint	Number Open Complaints	Number Closed Complaints
There were no relevant environmental complaints in 2022.			

3.2 REPORTED INCIDENTS SUMMARY

Environmental incidents that arise in an agglomeration are reported on an on-going basis in accordance with our waste water discharge licences. Where an incident occurs and it is reportable under the licence, it is reported to the Environmental Protection Agency through their Environmental Data Exchange Network, or in some instances by telephone. Some incidents which arise in the agglomeration are recorded by Uisce Éireann but may not be reportable under our licence for example where the incident does not have an impact on environmental performance.

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

3.2.1 SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS

Incident Type	Cause	No. of incident occurrences	Recurring (Y/N)	Closed (Y/N)
There were no reportable incidents in 2022.				

3.2.2 SUMMARY OF OVERALL INCIDENTS

Question	Answer
Number of Incidents in 2022	0
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2022	0
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

4 INFRASTRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS

4.1 STORM WATER OVERFLOW IDENTIFICATION AND INSPECTION REPORT

A summary of the operation of the storm water overflows and their significance where known is included below:

4.1.1 SWO IDENTIFICATION

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow (chamber) where applicable	Irish Grid Ref. (outfall)	Included in Schedule of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow(High / Medium / Low)	Assessed against DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2022 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2022 (m3)	Monitoring Status
SW003	199991,94246	Yes	Low Significance	Meeting Criteria	Unknown	15363	Monitored

Any TBC SWO(s) were identified as part of the on-going National SWO programme and will be updated in subsequent AER(s) once the information is confirmed.

SWO Summary	
How much sewage was discharged via monitored SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m3)?	15363
Is each SWO identified as not meeting DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements?	No
The SWO Assessment included the requirements of relevant of WWDL schedules?	Yes
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	No

4.2 REPORT ON PROGRESS MADE AND PROPOSALS BEING DEVELOPED TO MEET THE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME REQUIREMENTS.

4.2.1 SPECIFIED IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides a list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
D0273-SIP:01	Completion of Waste Water collection system	C	30/06/2014	Yes	Works Completed		
D0273-SIP:02	Secondary waste water discharge (SW2) to be discontinued	C	30/06/2014	Yes	Works Completed		
D0273-SIP:03	Storm Water Overflows shall comply with the criteria outlined in the DoECLG "Procedures and Criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows, 1995".	C	30/06/2014	Yes	Works Completed		
D0273-SIP:04	SW000 Primary discharge from Village septic tank, at Convent field, Townsparks East to be discontinued	A	30/06/2014	Yes	Works Completed		

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Description	Licence Schedule	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
D0273-SIP:05	SW002 Secondary Discharge from septic tank and reedbed, serving Woodview Estate, Townparks East to be discontinued.	A	30/06/2014	Yes	Works Completed		
D0273-SIP:06	Waste Water Treatment plant to include secondary treatment, nutrient removal and ancillary works	C	30/06/2014	Yes	Works Completed		

A summary of the status of any other improvements identified by under Condition 5 assessments- is included below.

4.2.2 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME SUMMARY

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description / or any Operational Improvements	Improvement Source	Expected Completion Date	Comments
No additional improvements planned at this time.				

4.2.3 SEWER INTEGRITY RISK ASSESSMENT

The utilisation of multiple capital maintenance programmes and the outputs of the workshops with the Local Authority Operations Staff held under the programme can be used to satisfy the requirements of Condition 5 regarding network integrity. Improvement works identified by way of these programmes and workshops will be included in the Improvements Summary Tables 4.2.1 and 4.2.2.

5 LICENCE SPECIFIC REPORTS

A wastewater discharge licence may require a number of reports on specific subject areas to be prepared for the agglomeration in question. These reports are submitted to the EPA as part of the Annual Environmental Report. This section provides a list of the various reports required for this agglomeration and a brief summary of their recommendations.

Licence Specific Report	Required by licence	Year included in AER	Included in this AER
Priority Substances Assessment	Yes	2014	No

6 CERTIFICATION AND SIGN OFF

6.1 SUMMARY OF AER CONTENTS

Parameter	Answer
Does the AER include an Executive Summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	Yes
Is there a need to advise the EPA for Consideration of a Technical Amendment/Review of the Licence?	No
List reason e.g. additional SWO identified	N/A
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modification to the existing WWDL with respect to condition 4 changes to monitoring location, frequency etc	No
List reason e.g. changes to monitoring requirements	N/A
Have these processes commenced?	N/A
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an appendix to this AER	N/A

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Signed: Date: 24/04/2023

This AER has been produced by Uisce Éireann's Environmental Information System (EIMS) and has been electronically signed off in that system for and on behalf of ,

Eleanor Roche

Acting Head of Environmental Regulation.

7 APPENDIX

Appendix
Appendix 7.1 - Ambient monitoring summary

Ambient Monitoring

D0273 – Tallow

Upstream Sampling is undertaken at Tallow Bridge [River Bride]

SW1u													
EntityName	StationName	SampleDate	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (Measurement)	Dissolved Oxygen % Saturation	Temperature	BOD	Ortho-phosphate	Total Nitrogen	Ammonia (N)	Nitrate	Nitrite	TON
Bride	RS18B050800	23-Mar-2022	7.99	12.5	115	11.4	1	0.03	5.4	0.005	-	0.01	4.5
Bride	RS18B050800	24-Aug-2022	8.05	9.8	102	17.6	0.5	0.01	6.3	0.02	-	0.03	-
Bride	RS18B050800	27-Apr-2022	8.46	13.6	128	12.4	0.5	0.02	6.1	0.005	-	0.02	-
Bride	RS18B050800	7-Dec-2022	7.9	10.6	88.1	7.1	0.5	0.018	4.7	0.02	20.81	0.01	-
Bride	RS18B050800	9-Nov-2022	7.52	10.6	94.7	10.4	3	0.04	4.5	0.02	17.2	0.005	-

SW1d @Camphire Bridge													
EntityName	StationName	SampleDate	pH	Dissolved Oxygen (Measurement)	Dissolved Oxygen % Saturation	Temperature	BOD	Ortho-phosphate	Total Nitrogen	Ammonia (N)	Nitrate	Nitrite	TON
Camphire Bridge	RS18B051000	23-Mar-2022	7.85	11.2	102	11.3	0.5	0.03	5	0.005	4.4	0.01	4.4
Camphire Bridge	RS18B051000	24-Aug-2022	7.78	7.69	82.3	19	0.5	0.03	4.3	0.04	3.5	0.005	-
Camphire Bridge	RS18B051000	27-Apr-2022	8.1	10.7	102	13.3	1	0.02	4	0.01	3	0.02	-
Camphire Bridge	RS18B051000	7-Dec-2022	7.8	9.9	82.3	7.3	0.5	0.042	4.6	0.02	4.7	0.005	-
Camphire Bridge	RS18B051000	9-Nov-2022	7.49	9.8	87.4	10.4	3.1	0.05	4.3	0.04	3.51	0.01	-

The WWDL requires ambient sampling to be undertaken downstream of the primary discharge. Due to Health & Safety concerns in accessing the river bank close to the WWTP and the continual presence of livestock on the adjoining land, D/S sampling was undertaken circa 10km downstream at Camphire Bridge, this is the nearest bridge crossing downstream of Tallow.

The tabulated results below, show that the effluent from the WWTP is not having a deleterious effect on the quality of the discharge waters.

Table 7.1.2 Ambient Monitoring Results SW1u RS18B050800

Parameter	SW0u	SW0u	SW0u	SW0u	SW0u		EQS (River Water Body)
Date	23-Mar-2022	24-Aug-2022	27-Apr-2022	7-Dec-2022	9-Nov-2022		-
pH	7.99	8.05	8.46	7.9	7.52		6.0 < pH < 9.0
DO%	115	102	128	88.1	94.7		120% > 95%ile > 80%
Temp	11.4	17.6	12.4	7.1	10.4		
BOD	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	3		High Status ≤1.3 Good Status ≤1.5
Orthophosphate (as P)	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.018	0.04		High Status ≤0.025 Good Status ≤0.035
Total Oxideised Nitrogen	4.5	-	-	-	-		Not specified
Total Ammonia (as N)	0.005	0.02	0.005	0.02	0.02		High Status ≤0.040 Good Status ≤0.065

Table 7.1.3 Ambient Monitoring Results SW1d RS18B051000

Parameter	SW0d	SW0d	SW0d	SW0d	SW0d		EQS (River Water Body)
Date	23-Mar-2022	24-Aug-2022	27-Apr-2022	7-Dec-2022	9-Nov-2022		-
pH	7.85	7.78	8.1	7.8	7.49		6.0 < pH <9.0
DO%	102	82.3	102	82.3	87.4		120% > 95%ile > 80%
Temp	11.3	19	13.3	7.3	10.4		
BOD	0.5	0.5	1	0.5	3.1		High Status ≤1.3 Good Status ≤1.5
Orthophosphate (as P)	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.042	0.05		High Status ≤0.025 Good Status ≤0.035
Total Oxideised Nitrogen	4.4	-	-	-	-		Not specified
Total Ammonia (as N)	0.005	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.04		High Status ≤0.040 Good Status ≤0.065

Table 7.1.4 Ambient Monitoring Results Up and Down Stream Annual Average Comparison

Parameter	pH	DO%	BOD	Orthophosphate (as P)	Total Oxidised Nitrogen	Total Ammonia (as N)
SW1u [Annual Average]	7.98	105.56	1.10	0.02	4.50	0.01
SW1d [Annual Average]	7.80	91.20	1.12	0.03	4.40	0.02
Difference between SW1u & SW2d	0.18	14.36	-0.02	-0.01	0.10	-0.01
EQS (River Water Body)	6.0 < pH < 9.0	120% > 95%ile > 80%	High Status ≤1.3 Good Status ≤1.5	High Status ≤0.025 Good Status ≤0.035	Not specified	High Status ≤0.040 Good Status ≤0.065